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**Climate Change and Insect Life Histories**

Of the 137-year history of recorded global surface temperatures, 2016 was the warmest year on record (NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information 2017). This global increase in temperature is causing seasons to become less predictable. For centuries, insect have exploited seasonally predictive environmental cues to make life history decisions. Using these seasonal cues, insects have synchronized their life history such that they are able to take advantage of seasonally available resources and avoid seasons when those resources are unavailable. Populations are expected to rise from the 321.2 million to 398.3 million people here in the United States (Population Reference Bureau 2015). Farmers depend on the predictive nature of these seasonal cues to determine when to plant, chemically treat, and harvest their field crops to meet the food demand of the nation. As seasons become less predictable, insect populations will generally respond physiologically by shifting their spatial distribution, or by adapting to the local changes in their environment through heritable phenotypic (and behavioral) plasticity (Davis and Shaw 2001, Helmuth et al. 2005). Understanding the effect of climate change on insect life histories has direct implications for insect pest management and food security. The consequence of these interactions, between climate change and the physiological response of insects, presents an interesting opportunity for scientific investigation, the results of which is of great importance as our nation and the world becomes more populated.

**Effects of Climate Changes:** The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration estimates the global surface temperatures in 2016 were 0.87°C above the 20th century average surface temperature (NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information 2017). Global temperature projections estimate global temperatures to increase by 1.1°C to 5.4°C by 2100 (Herring 2012). Here in the United States, average temperature projections for 2017 are expected to exceed historical averages (Climate Prediction Center Internet Team 2017). For insects whose voltinism (number of generations per year) is limited by low temperatures, they should generally respond to these increased temperatures with increased voltinism (Bebber 2015). Average global temperatures are useful predictors and provide a first approximation of the response of insects to increasing temperatures. However, the variance introduced by oceans, atmosphere, and landforms further influence how insects respond to changes in climates (Bebber 2015). Selection in a warming environment favors those insects able to respond to climate.

Shifting range boundaries to track favorable temperatures or adjusting to local temperatures physiologically by phenotypic plasticity are strategies employed by both migratory and non-migratory insect species. (Somero 2010). In Europe, of 35 species of non-migratory butterflies, 63% are observed to have shifted their range northward and 3% shifted their range south (Parmesan 1999).

This tends to be Insects could respond to the general warming of global temperatures, insects whose life histories are limited by lower temperatures could see an overall poleward shift in range distribution. This is has been observed in 35 species of European butterflies and increase in voltinism (the number of generations per year) (Bebber 2015). However, these generalisms do not account cause a net increase in range distribution and annual generation output (). will can have devastating effects on agricultural output produce different responses in effects on different species depending on how While some species will be unable to adapt to the changes in climate, These higher temperatures can have a range of effects on the population dynamics of species and these effects are dependent upon the sensitivity of a species to these factors.

The climate is changing dramatically and has been doing so over the past four decades (Mac et al. 1998). Historically, climate and seasonal temperature variations have been more predictable and organisms gradually flowed into different habitats and occupied new niches over time. In the context of a less predictable climate, organisms are thrown into different ecological spaces where the pressure to survive under novel conditions results in ecological upheaval. The ecological consequences of these dramatic climate fluctuations, must be investigated to fully understanding the degree to which these climate fluctuations will affect ecological stability and global food security.

Corn here in the United States is an important economic agricultural commodity. Agriculture output adds $136.7 billion dollars to the nations economy, accounting for 1% of the United States GDP in 2015 (Glaser 2016). For many farmers and consumers,

[ Expected effects of climate change on food security: DOI: 10.1038/ncomms6989]

* Parameter of food security
* Food security projections in the context of climate change
* pest pressure, degree days, crop yield as points

[ Expected effects of climate change on ecological diversity: DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2002.00451.x]

* Parameter of diversity
* Diversity as a function of reliability of seasons
* Population, location, and gradient of diversirty and specialists

**Ecological Result of Climate Change**

[ How organisms/insects adapt to climate change: doi: 10.1073/pnas.241391498]

* Removal of resources/hosts
  + Seasonally or permenantly
* migration
* “host switching?”
* plasticity

**Insect Diversity:** This effect is becoming more noticeable in regions where temperatures are less variable but extreme. For instance, in Antarctica (Find the Denlinger paper on that small insect losing its habitat). (Obligate lifestyle, specialized physiology, fragile ecosystem) Beyond simply losing acreage of habitable terrain, this species population will eventually decline to an unmaintainable number, making this and other species like it that live on the temperature extremes, causalities of climate change.

Farther away from these temperature margins, the interplay between temperature and organismal diversity is less conspicuous. (Reference the Sinclair paper and the William’s paper, discuss how there are alternatives to the dogma that climate change will diminish insect diversity.)

As environments continue to change and as seasonal temperatures become warmer and less predictable, organism diversity by some measures is declining. Ultra-cold temperature organisms are losing acres of habitat every year as these icy places become warmer. Reciprocally, ultra-hot environments are increasing in size as rainfall becomes more sporadic. These dry, hot places are also losing diversity to desiccation and heat exhaustion. Organisms that exist on these extreme margins have a more difficult time dealing with these biologically fast changes in their environment due to their extremely specialized lifestyle. As a result, some of this extremophile diversity could be lost as climate continues to change.

On the contrary, these environmental shifts do not necessarily spell disaster for all diversity, in fact organism diversity could increase in some environments (Sinclair 2015). This type of survival will depend largely on species genetic traits and phenotype plasticity (Williams et al 2014). **Animals and Climate:** Prior to the industrial revolution of the 19th century annual seasons followed a reliable pattern of high temperatures during the peak of summer and low temperatures during the peak of the winter. Associated with these cyclic temperatures was the waxing of daylight hours leading to the middle of the summer, and the waning of those hours leading to the middle of the winter. Both plants and animals have evolved to live in synchrony with these annually cycles and in many cases organism life histories have become constrained by them. In the Southern ocean, the ultra low temperatures freeze the sea water into a semi solid matrix. The sea ice matrix is crossed by a network of extremely brine filled passages, and within those passages microorganisms thrive (Thomas and Dikemann 2002). These tiny plants and animals have evolved to tolerate the habitat extremes of the free water of the Southern ocean and the semi-solid salty sea ice matrix. In fact these microorganisms living in the sea ice of the Southern Ocean rely on the ultra-low temperatures and predators, like krill, depend on the seasonal melting of the sea ice as a food resource. Such that changes to the pattern of freezing and thawing could potentially have cascading effects on the grazing and life histories of these lynch-pin predators.

In these extreme environments there is a tendancy towards obligate synchrony with the environment.

Temperate climates too have organisms with life histories that are “seasonal obligatory”

Temperate climates also have organisms with life histories that are “seasonally facultative”. Making predictions about these types of environments is important because it could mean [plant growing seasons, pest growing seasons] In more temperate environments….. [diversity, insect ranges, agriculture]

With annual temperatures are becoming more variable, those synchronized life histories are in jeopardy of unraveling. predicting how plants and animals will respond to these changes needs to be investigated further. For centuries, in the more temperate areas of the globe, those temperature drops coincide with the reduction of daylight hours. Plants and animals have evolved over millennia alongside these annual environmental changes and over time those organism’s life histories become dependent upon detecting those changes. Breaking the

**Adaptative Plasticity** [ How plasticity can mitigate effects of climate change: doi: 10.1111/brv.12105, doi:10.1093/icb/icr049, INSECT SEASONAL CYCLES: 195 GENETICS AND EVOLUTION]

* Parameters of plasticity: types and functions
* Buffer to Fluctuations and depleted resources
  + Diapause as an example
* ECB as an example

**ECB as a model** [ Importance of ECB to food security and ecological diversity]

* Agricultural importance [Host-plant diversity of the European corn borer Ostrinia nubilalis: what value for sustainable transgenic insecticidal Bt maize?]
  + Cost to protect
  + Ability to destroy
  + Current range and projections
* Latitudinal distribution [DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02308.x]
  + Climate seems to have distributed these species. This is a chance to make interesting science
  + Clines are distributed latitudinally and are separated genetically
* Predictive power of model
  + Diapause phenotype and genetic differences
* **Notes:**
* PPT CPP Paper
* Fig 2a: temperatuiures are preduictably fluctuating. Given the CPP of 10C the certain points of the year the and organisms have optimized their physiol to match that predictability. Once their critical photo period is reached they begin preparations for diapauses. Under a changing climate scheme, there is a disconnect between CPP and temperatures. Organisms that are physiologically preparing for higher temps, conduct their preparations for those higher temperatures. To what degree those preparations will effect their performance during diapauses needs to be investigated to fully predict how climate chage can affect insect life history, survival and the resulting pest pressure changes.
* Does the fuel at the outset of diapause maybe determine how an insect performs during diapause?
* Organisms constantly are monitoring their internal environments and using that information to make physiological decisions about how to either store or utilize resources.
* Genotype variation based on season length and how this may change in light of climate change. Discuss why this is important
* Consider your project in the context of applied pest management. Your audience is the Ent department, Hahn and the USDA. More applied and more modeling
* For centuries, plants and animals have experienced the global climate in annual cycles. Organisms have evolved in the context of these annual cycles for millennia and as annual temperature fluctuations fall out of synchrony with daylight hours, understanding the consequences of this variability is urgent. What’s more is these organisms have come to depend upon these annual patterns, some more than others. Understanding the extent to which those relationships will be affected by this record setting unpredictability is a challenge that is sure to have broad effects on how we manage agricultural pests and our food security as a nation.

**Diapause and Climate**

Food, mates, water, shelter; these are all resources that organisms must manage to be competitive and survive within their environment, so how is this accomplished? During periods of food abundance one can intuit how managing it can be understood. Simplistically, when available food concentrations are higher than the amount of food required for an organism to survive, they will either consume just enough resources to survive or they will over consume. The dynamics of survival during times of food scarcity is not as simplistic but can be understood in general terms. Overcoming resource decline in most cases leads to different types of dormancy but the intensity, preparation, and duration of that dormancy are all able to be modulated to meet the specific requirements of an organism’s current environment. Organisms experiencing environments with reduced resources can cope with these stressful periods by migrating to locations where resources more readily available. Another way organisms compensate for these resource poor times is by storing more resources during resource rich times to last through the stressful period.

Changes in food, water, oxygen, and temperature can all have direct effects on the immediate developmental state of an organism. Quiescent dormancy is an organisms immediate response to these types of environmental changes. This type of dormancy is not genetically predetermined and while it does reduce the activity of an organism, its metabolic activity is relatively constant (effect of chilling and reduced oxygen and how insects respond to these types of changes… useful and old example in drosophilla). Diapause is a state of dormancy that is initiated in advance of shifting environmental conditions. This type of dormancy is generally precipitates from environmental cues such as light or temperature. Diapausing insects use these environmental cues to initiate physiological changes that function as to protect the insect from the seasonal absence of resources. The genetic programming that is initiated when diapause is induced can result in the acquisition and storage of more resources necessary to survival. (unfinished)

**The European corn borer Model**

Predictable seasonal climates and global food security are tenuously bound making a comprehensive approach to dealing with these changes imperative. Farmers and growers must be able to make short-term and long-term decisions about when to control pest populations based upon how the climate affects those populations. Here in the United States, 92 percent of all the corn acreage is planted with a genetically engineered corn crop that expresses *Bacillus thurengensis* (Bt) crystalline protein toxin. Bt toxin was developed agriculturally to assist in managing European corn borer corn pest. pressure manage the that can be done to corn by an infestation of European corn borer. For this technology to be effective, farmers need to predict European corn borer infestations (ERS report 2017). “Studies detailing diapause-associated changes in intermediary metabolism and feeding physiology are needed across taxa with different diapause strategies to expand our understanding of the metabolic processes underlying prediapause reserve accumulation. The goal in this area is to under- stand the underlying neurological and endocrine signaling mechanisms that regulate diapause-associated shifts in feeding patterns and intermediary metabolism.” (unfinished)

**OBJECTIVE**

While intuitively it may seem that when meeting the additional physiological stress of diapause, organisms should prepare for these stressful times by gathering and or building more energy. However, other strategies have been documented (Hahn and Denlinger 2011). The objective of this study will be to quantify and compare the difference in stored energy between two genotypically different strains of *Ostrinia nubilalis,* the European corn borer. Further, European corn borer (ECB) destined for and ECB avoiding diapause will be compared within each strain. Characterizing the energy stores of ECB destined for diapause, has yet to be capitulated in ECB and is necessary to understanding diapause biology and usefulness as a model system, managing it as an agricultural pest, and predicting its behavior as seasonal climates become less predictable (Denlinger 2008).

I hypothesize that the amount of energy a European corn borer stores in preparation for the additional stress of diapause, is related directly to how it performs during diapause. Specifically, diapause destined individuals preparing for diapause will increase their storage of triglycerides and storage proteins in direct relation to the length of time they will spend in diapause. The ECB strain preparing for a long period of diapause will store more energy than their shorter diapausing and diapause avoiding counterpart. Diapausing ECB may be storing energy in different ratios than diapause avoiders. Higher levels of triglycerides may be used to supplement water and protect against desiccation while higher protein stores could be used to rebuild damaged or depleted enzymes.

European corn borer model is well suited for this type of study. Within the species there are of 2 distinct genotypes that differentially express the diapause phenotype. The univoltine-Z (UZ) genotype expresses a long diapause phenotype, while the bivoltine-E (BE) genotype expresses a shorter diapause phenotype. Further, the expression of the diapause phenotype is facultative. When exposed to a photoperiod of 12h:12h (light hours:dark hours) the diapause phenotype is expressed, photoperiods of 16:8 suppress the diapause phenotype. The strains of ECB persist as inbred siblings, originating from naturally occurring populations genotype can either express or avoid. ECB preparing for a longer period of diapause will store more energy in the form of fats and protein, while ECB preparing for shorter period of diapause should store relatively less energy in the form of fats and proteins. there is a direct relationship between the amount of energy stored when feeding ends and the length of time an individual spends in the diapause state. Such that ECB destined for a longer period of diapause will store more energy than ECB destined for a shorter diapause. Further, the larvae destined for diapause will differentially store more energy than those larvae that are avoiding. To that end I will

Characterizing these metabolic intermediates is intended to approximate the amount of energy an individual has reserved after feeding ends. European corn borer was chosen as the model for these experiments due to their facultative diapause life history strategy, differing genotypes and physiologies, and their different phenotypes. When either strain is exposed to the same photoperiodic and thermal cues in the laboratory, their specific response can be reproducibly observed but the physiological link between genotype and phenotype has not yet been described.

Approximately, $10 billion dollars is spent annually on chemical insecticides to control the damaging effects of insect pests (Pimentel 2005). Corn is an incredibly valuable crop in the United States and protecting it from actively feeding phytophagous insects includes the dynamic use of chemicals and biotechnology. To control the ephemeral outbreaks of ECB, farmers in the US spend approximately $10 billion dollars on chemical pesticides*.* In 2016, 92% of the corn acreage in the US was planted with BT corn. This type of pest management is a very powerful tool due to its specificity for phytophagous insects. However, the widespread use of this toxin pressurizes competition in the population. Those individuals in that can survive the toxic effects are given a mating advantage over its less advantaged, or dead, peers. Combined with the ability of ECB to produce one or two large generations a year, resistance across populations can be quickly amplified.

Investigating the physiological requirements of this tenuous life history decision will expose diapause phenology to being controlled. Strategies that can precisely affect the progression of the ECB through diapause could be valuable. Perturbing the corn borers ability to survive diapause by affecting how it allocates resources could be used as an added layer of pest management.

**PROPOSED METHODOLOGY**

**Origin and Husbandry of European Corn Corer.** The univoltine (UZ) and bivoltine (BE) strains of European corn borer (ECB) were generously provided courtesy of Dr. Dopman laboratory at Tufts University. These laboratory reared colonies were established in the year (\_\_) as larvae. These laboratory colonies will be continuously reared at 26C and a day cycle regimen of 16 hours of light and 8 hours of dark. These larvae have been sibling mated since their capture in (\_\_) and throughout the course of these experiments. To compare the differences in energy storage between diapause destined and diapause avoiding larvae, newly hatched larvae from each colony will be reared at 23 C and under two different lighting treatments. Larvae reared at 23 C with 12 hours of light and 12 hours of dark will diapause and larvae reared at 23 C with 16 hours of light and 8 hours of dark will avoid diapause (\_). Those diapause destined larvae from UZ and BE colonies will be labeled UZ12 and BE12 respectively. Those UZ and BE colony larvae reared under diapause avoiding conditions will be labeled UZ16 and BE16 respectively. Under these conditions larvae will be reared gregariously from hatching, through the 4th instar.

**Sampling Wandering Larvae.** Wandering larvae will be sampled using a modified version of the framework put forth in the 1982 study by Gelman and Hayes. For the purposes of this experiment, the wandering stage of ECB will be determined developmentally as the stage when the larvae stop feeding, the contents of their gut is cleared, and they search for refuge in preparation for either diapause or pupation. Feeding caseation, gut clearing, and seeking refuge will each be used as proxies for the beginning of the wondering stage. A 5th instar larva will be individually placed into an arena with food and allowed to eat ad libitum for 5 consecutive days. Starting on the 5th day, the burrowing larva will still be provided with food ad libitum, but every 24 hours it will be separated from the food source and placed at the opposite end of the arena. Feeding cessation will be determined by the larva not returning to the food source, or the larva not eating the food source. Gut clearing will be determined when frass production is replaced by the production of liquid from the anus or there are no anal exudates. Seeking refuge will be determined by the larva walking around the arena or the larva producing a sealed silken gallery. Any combination of these behaviors will be categorized as “wandering”. (Gelman and Hayes 1982). Once the developmental stage is determined to be wandering, each larval sample will be weighed, hemolymph will be extracted for protein analysis, and the larva will then be freeze dried for lipid analysis. Larvae samples will be analyzed in cohorts of 9 for protein and lipid analysis.

**Protein Extraction and Quantification:** The hemolymph from each sample larvae will be extracted and the concentration of the total protein content in the extracted hemolymph will be measured. Extracting the lymph fluid will be done through a small incision along the cuticle of the prologs (Gelman and Woods 1983). Approximately 12 µL lymph fluid will be gathered into a pipet tip and stored into a microcentrifuge tube containing 500 µL of 1x PBS and 5 µL of Halt™ protease inhibitor. Sample larvae will be separated into cohorts and the protein concentration of those samples will be quantified using the Bradford protein assay. A cohort will consist of two larvae from each of the four treatment groups (UZ16, BE16, UZ12, and BE12). The Bradford assay utilizes the color shifting property of Coomassie Blue when it is bound to protein, the shifted color absorbs light at 595nm. The amount of light this molecule absorbs can be quantified. Bovine serum albumin dilutions of known concentration will be quantified using the Bradford assay. The measured absorbance of these dilutions of known protein concentration can be used to produce a linear relationship between absorbance and protein concentration. Dilutions of the lymph fluid of unknown concentration will be simultaneously quantified using the Bradford assay. The absorbance values at these dilutions will be compared to the dilutions of known concentration using linear regression.

**Protein Separation and Identification:** The extracted hemolymph proteins will be separated along a size gradient using sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Once the protein concentration has been quantified using the Bradford analysis, a known concentration of protein will be added to each well in the gel and a 100mV electrical current applied to the gel for approximately two hours. These conditions will separate the proteins along a mass gradient and once separated, the migrated proteins will be stained with Bio-Safe™ Coomassie Stain, photographed, and the relative protein densities will be compared against a protein standard. The character of these protein will be determined using 2D-electrophoresis.

The separated proteins will then be identified using the facilities

**Triglyceride Extraction and Esterification:** Preparation for the extraction and esterification will begin with separating the larvae into cohorts. A cohort will consist of five larvae from each of the four treatment groups (UZ16, BE16, UZ12, and BE12) and four null samples. The null samples will serve to characterize the background effects of the extraction method. To capture the efficiency of the extraction and esterification method, (\_) will be used as a spike-in standard. Two of the four null samples will receive a known amount of the spike-in standard. By comparing the weight of the spike-in at the start of the extraction process to the recovery amount after the chromatographic analysis (explained later) a percent yield can be calculated. Before the triglycerides can be extracted or esterified, the dry weight of the larvae will need to be obtained after a period of lyophilizing. Dryness will be assumed when a larva does not lose more than 1% of its mass over a 24-hour period. The total lipid content of each of these larvae will then be extracted using a modification of the 1957 Folch and Sloane Stanley method (Folch and Stanley 1957). This modified method will allow for the partitioning of lipids using solvents of different densities. Each larva will be pulverized in a 2:1 solution of dichloromethane and methanol at a rate of 20:1 solution volume to larva volume. The dichloromethane will discriminately solubilize the less polar lipids that make up the larvae and methanol will trap the more polar molecules. To reduce any oxidative effects of oxygen, 0.05mg/mL of BHT (butylated hydroxytoluene) will be added to methanol. The resulting solution is decanted and saved. Dichloromethane will then be added back to the pulverized tissue, the tissue will again be pulverized and the resulting dichloromethane solution decanted and saved. This process will be repeated a total of three times. The saved solution of dichloromethane and methanol should contain the target triglycerides, along with non-target lipids, more-polar compounds, and solid some tissue, these non-target species will need to be removed. A magnesium silicate solid phase extraction column (Florisil SPE) will be used to fractionate the extremely polar compounds and solid debris out of the saved solution. The strongly polar adsorbent will interact strongly with the extremely polar compounds in the saved solution and its tightly packed nature will impede the movement of solids through the column. The saved solution will be placed onto the Florisil SPE column and the column will be rinsed with dichloromethane and methanol at rates of 1:0, 95:5, 9:1, 1:1, and 0:1 resulting in a rinsed lipid mixture. The rinsed lipid mixture will be dried under nitrogen gas and the weight recorded. To separate the more-polar lipids away from neutral triglycerides, a 2:1 mixture of dichloromethane and methanol will be added back to the dry rinsed lipids. To form an interface layer between the dichloromethane and methanol in the rinsed lipid mixture, water will be added to the solution at a rate of 20% the solutions volume and the aqueous solvent decanted and discarded. To ensure the dichloromethane layer is water free, the layer will be dried first using sodium sulfate crystals then again under nitrogen gas. This neutral lipid extract will be weighed and the extract saved.

After extraction, the triglycerides in the neutral lipid extract will be converted into their respective fatty acid methyl esters (FAME). To accomplish this conversion, the neutral lipid extract will be methylated via base-catalyzed esterification (AOCS, Cyber lipid, Christie, Ichikara, bumble bee paper, unpublished work J. Beck lab). The neutral lipid extract will be heated in a solution of 10M methanolic potassium hydroxide for 15 minutes, the solution is then vortexed and cooled on ice. While still on ice, 12M sulfuric acid will be added to the mixture, the mixture vortexed and heated. After heating the mixture, exactly 1 mL of hexanes will be added, the solution will be vortexed, and the hexanes layer decanted for a total of 3 mL of hexanes. Finally, the 3mL FAME solution will be decanted into a vial containing hydroscopic sodium sulfate to remove any water introduced into the solution. The dry FAME solution will be stored at -80C until chromatographic analysis.

**Triglyceride Identification and Quantification:** Using an Agilent 7980B gas-liquid chromatographer coupled with flame ionization detection (GC-FID), derivatized triglycerides will be identified and quantified. GC-FID is a method to compare the extracted esterified triglycerides to a standard mixture of esterified fatty acids of a known concentration. To identify each of the compounds in the FAME sample extract, the compounds in the mixture will be separated on a 30-meter capillary column lined with a highly polar liquid substrate, DB-WAX. The amount of time each compound spends interacting with the substrate will be recorded as its retention time and the intensity of the ionization detected will be recorded as its abundance. Each molecule in the FAME sample extract will interact with the column for a specific amount of time and that retention time will be used to identify that compound. The quantity of that compound when ignited by the flame ionizer will be recorded an abundance value. This process will be repeated using a commercially validated standard mixture of esterified lipids of known concentration and identity. The measured retention times and abundance of the compounds in the FAME sample extract will be compared to the retention times and abundance of the compounds in the standardized mixture. Comparisons of retention time and abundance will be used to estimate the identity and the concentration of the esterified triglycerides in the extract respectively.

**Data Analysis:** Data will be analyzed in batches and the resulting data will be analyzed using multivariate analysis. This will allow for many variables to be compared and reduced simultaneously.

Sample size will be determined using the power analysis formula. (http://www.statmethods.net/stats/power.html) NOVA

For a one-way analysis of variance use

pwr.anova.test(k = , n = , f = , sig.level = , power = )

where k is the number of groups and n is the common sample size in each group.

For a one-way ANOVA effect size is measured by f where

  
Cohen suggests that f values of 0.1, 0.25, and 0.4 represent small, medium, and large effect sizes respectively.
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